On the occurrence of auroral westward flow channels and substorm phase
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Abstract

Auroral westward flow channels (AWFCs) are intense, narrow channels of westward drift overlapping the equatorward edge of the auroral oval in the pre-magnetic midnight sector. They are a close relative of the sub-auroral polarisation stream which encompasses polarisation jets, a phenomenon also known as sub-auroral ion drift events. Recent observations made with the Tasman Geospace Environment Radar (TIGER) (147.2°E, 43.4°S Geodetic; 55.0° Geomagnetic) have revealed close associations between the appearance of AWFCs and substorm onset, and their subsequent decay toward the end of recovery phase. In fact, in terms of electric field strength, they are the strongest signatures of substorms in the ionospheric convection (>50 mV m). In terms of electric potential difference (>10 kV), they also represent a substantial fraction of the total potential difference generated during substorms. The AWFCs exhibit a diverse range of behaviour, there being no typical event. The radar observations show that radial polarisation fields sometimes oscillate towards and away from the Earth, and bifurcate, within regions of closed flux in the magnetotail throughout substorm evolution. We have identified every AWFC observed by TIGER during the first year of operation, 2000. Simple statistical arguments imply that one, if not more, AWFC probably occurs during every substorm. AWFCs are a fundamental aspect of substorm evolution.
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1. Introduction

Polarisation Jets (PJs) were discovered by Galperin et al. (1973). They are narrow channels (<1–2°A) of intense westward plasma flow (500 m s to >4 km s) which occur just equatorward of, or overlapping, the equatorward edge of the auroral oval in the evening sector (Karlsson et al., 1998). PJs are also known as sub-auroral ion drift events (SAIDs) (Spiro et al., 1979; Anderson et al., 1991, 1993, 2001). Substorm-associated radar auroral surges (SARAS) (Freeman et al., 1992; Shand et al., 1998) probably represent a different aspect of PJ/SAIDs. The term “sub-auroral polarisation stream” (SAPS) (Foster and Burke, 2002) has been proposed to encompass these phenomena, as well as the weaker background westward flows (~100–400 m s) which persist beyond midnight, and immediately equatorward of the eastward circulation within the dawn convection cell.

The Tasman International Geospace Environment Radar (TIGER) (147.2°E, 43.4°S Geodetic; 55° Geomagnetic) (Dyson and Devlin, 2000) is a recent addition to the Super Dual Auroral Radar Network (SuperDARN). A description of SuperDARN radar design and operation has been given by Greenwald et al. (1985, 1995). Auroral westward flow channels (AWFCs) were recently discovered using TIGER (Parkinson et al., 2003). AWFCs are probably also a different aspect of PJ/SAIDs. However, AWFCs appear between the onset and recovery of magnetospheric substorms, whereas satellite observations have shown that PJ/SAIDs appear during the recovery phase (Anderson et al., 1993).

When SuperDARN radars are deployed equatorward of TIGER, it will be interesting to see whether they also observe peak PJ/SAID velocities during the recovery phase.
AWFCs are distinguished from reconnection-driven flow bursts in the return sunward (westward) circulation within the afternoon convection cell by their greater temporal persistence. AWFCs can extend over many hours of longitude in the afternoon to post-midnight sector, and can last for several hours. However, short-lived westward flow bursts were seen to expand equatorward through the return sunward flow, feeding an AWFC (Parkinson et al., in press).

The same event was observed simultaneously using the King Salmon radar. Analysis of this event showed that magnetic conjugacy was satisfied on large spatial and temporal scales (Parkinson et al., in press), consistent with the earlier results of Weimer et al. (1985).

Comparisons with DMSP particle precipitation data and IMAGE spacecraft global-scale FUV images show that AWFCs tend to overlap the equatorial edge of the auroral oval (Parkinson et al., 2003, in press). Like PJ/SAIDs (Karlsson et al., 1998), AWFCs have peak occurrence in the evening sector near 22 h magnetic local time (MLT). AWFCs are observed at the poleward limit of the occurrence distribution for PJ/SAIDs (Karlsson et al., 1998), and tend to overlap the equatorward edge of the auroral oval. AWFCs map to the inner magnetosphere in the sense of the inner edge of the central plasma sheet and Earthward towards the plasmapause. 

Jayachandran et al. (2003) used ultraviolet imager data recorded on board the POLAR spacecraft to identify the onset signatures of many substorms. His E-region ionospheric signatures overlapped the equatorward boundary of the ion auroral oval in the evening sector, close to where AWFCs occur (an F-region phenomenon). For the two substorms shown by Jayachandran et al., the ionospheric signatures preceded the onset signatures at geosynchronous orbit by several minutes.

Substorm phase can be defined by negative bays in the geomagnetic X component measured by ground-based magnetometers at auroral latitudes. The onset times can be related to particle injections and dipolarisation events observed at geosynchronous orbit. Using these criteria, AWFCs are observed to start near to substorm onset and finish near to the end of recovery phase. In terms of electric field enhancements, AWFCs are the strongest manifestation of substorms in the inner magnetosphere (Parkinson et al., in press). The observations made so far naturally raise some important questions. Is every substorm accompanied by an AWFC? Do AWFCs and substorms occur independently of each other? Are AWFCs the cause or consequence of substorms, or both? That is, are AWFCs a fundamental aspect of the substorm process?

The purpose of this paper is to present simple statistical results which imply the occurrence of AWFCs is fundamental to substorm evolution. We will argue that one or more AWFC probably occurs for nearly every substorm. The numerous AWFCs identified also imply unexpected and diverse morphology of electric fields within the inner magnetosphere (as defined above).
169 events illustrating the diverse range of morphology is 170 shown in Fig. 2. As will be explained, the vertical lines indi- 171 cate magnetometer signatures of substorm phase, as identi- 172 fied in Fig. 3.

173 The examples shown in Fig. 2 were not selected to 174 illustrate that AWFCs are synchronised with substorms. 175 The best such examples occur when isolated substorms 176 occur and the radar is observing the pre-midnight iono- 177 sphere. However, the sequence of geomagnetic activity is 178 often more complicated and leads to more interesting 179 AWFC activity. Fig. 3 shows variations in the geomag- 180 netic X, Y, and Z components measured by the fluxgate 181 magnetometer on nearby Macquarie Island (54.5°S, 158.9°E; -65°K) for the same events and time intervals 182 of Fig. 2. The onset (O), peak expansion (P), and end 183 of recovery (R) substorm times have been inferred from 184 the X-component deflections. However, Canadian mag- 185 netometer chain observations made further to the east 186 were also consulted, as were energetic particle injections 187 observed by the LANL satellites at geosynchronous orbit. 188 For example, the onset at 08:00 UT on 6 April 2000 189 (Fig. 3(b)) was based upon an energetic particle injection 190 identification.

191 The 3 April event (Fig. 2(a)) consisted of a succession of 192 three relatively weak, equatorward expanding AWFCs. 193 The largest velocities occurred just beyond peak expansion 194 phase, but each episode was reasonably synchronised to the 195 X-component deflections (Fig. 3(a)). The 6 April event 196 (Fig. 2(b)) consisted of a thin “snake-like” AWFC expand- 197 ing equatorward and contracting poleward. The two flow 198 bursts commenced near to the two onset signatures, and 199 the large velocities were established prior to the recovery 200 phase (Fig. 3(b)). The large velocities were often confined 201 to a channel of width less than a single range gate, or 202 <45 km. The 22 April event (Fig. 2(c)) was broad and 203 “jet like,” consisting of at least two, latitudinally separated 204 AWFCs imbedded within a decaying SAPS. The backscat- 205 ter powers were also bifurcated, but are not shown for 206 brevity. These scatter characteristics may indicate troughs 207 within troughs (Galperin et al., 1986).

208 Clearly, the AWFC was established during the expan- 209 sion phase and decayed toward the end of recovery phase. 210 We identify the subsequent feature as a SAPS on the basis 211 that it persists beyond the recovery phase, eastward of the 212 Harang discontinuity and magnetic midnight, and equator- 213 ward of the flow reversal boundary. The latter is the 214 separatrix between the predominant influence of reconnec- 215 tion-driven magnetospheric convection and the essentially 216 co-rotational flows of the plasmasphere (cf. Parkinson 217 et al., 2003, in press).

218 Finally, the 31 August event (Fig. 2(d)) revealed an ini- 219 tial thin, intense AWFC which expanded equatorward and 220
contracted poleward, before a broader SAPS gradually expanded equatorward. The backscatter powers were bifurcated in group range, suggesting the presence of several troughs. Again, the AWFC was established during the expansion phase. Note that other onsets preceded the study interval, so the AWFC did not necessarily commence during the growth phase.

Fig. 4 is a bar chart showing the percentage occurrence rate of AWFCs observed during each month of the year 2000. Multiple events in time and bifurcated in latitude were ignored, so the maximum occurrence rate was one event per night, or 100%. The average of the 12 monthly occurrence rates was 40%, with a standard deviation of 12%. Without corroborating evidence, the seasonal variations were not considered significant, especially considering the large variability in geomagnetic activity. A total of 98 “events” were actually observed during 260 nights of reliable radar operations. Allowing for radar down time, this implies ~146 events might have been directly observed.

The preceding occurrence rates are considered lower limits because AWFCs may have occurred, but were not observed even though the radar was operating correctly. This is because of unfavourable HF propagation conditions.
or weak ionospheric irregularity production. Perhaps as many as \( \sim 198 \) events may have occurred if we allow for the fraction of times no scatter was detected when the radar was operating correctly. However, this matter is problematic since AWFCs should form near strong gradients in electron density which favour enhanced HF refraction and the production of ionospheric irregularities. That is, there should be a tendency for AWFCs to be observed whenever they occur.

Other more serious corrections pertain to the AWFCs that were not observed because TIGER observed the \( \sim 19-01 \) MLT sector for \( \sim 25\% \) of each day. Hence the duration or count of AWFCs may have been up to four times larger. Moreover, numerous AWFCs probably occurred equatorward of \( \sim 62\^\circ \) during more active intervals. This latitude is equatorward of the preferred range gate for the detection of 0.5-hop ionospheric scatter from the F-region. Referring to Figs. 2 and 5 of Karlsson et al. (1998), approximately 2/3 of events were observed equatorward of 62\(^\circ\). If the SuperDARN network covered all longitudes and extended further equatorward, one or more AWFCs would have been observed on nearly every night.

How does this AWFC occurrence compare with the occurrence of substorm onsets during 08–14 UT (\( \sim 19–01 \) MLT)? The United Kingdom Sub-Auroral Magnetometer Network (SAMNET) consists of a network of
magnetometers centred near the Greenwich meridian. SAMNET data were used to identify all the substorm onset times during 2000. The longitude of SAMNET magnetometers differs by $\sim 10\,\text{h}$ from the longitude of TIGER. Hence the 19–01 MLT sector roughly corresponds to the interval 18–24 UT for SAMNET. A substorm onset signature was recorded on nearly every day of the year in this UT interval, similar to the occurrence of AWFCs.

The previous statistical inferences were confirmed by our survey of data recorded on individual nights. Time series of the MQI $X$ component, the $AE$, and the $AL$ indices were plotted for two months of data, and variations during the interval 08–14 UT were highlighted. The TIGER data were examined for the occurrence of AWFCs. Invariably, if an AWFC was not observed, the geomagnetic conditions were unusually quiet, or very disturbed (i.e., the AWFC was too far equatorward). AWFCs tended to be observed when there were isolated negative bays up to $\sim 600\,\text{nT}$. However, they were not observed for more disturbed conditions, or during lesser bays following ongoing geomagnetic activity.

In summary, the results of surveying year 2000 data suggest that AWFCs were potentially observable by TIGER on up to $\sim 150$ nights, and if more radars were deployed at lower latitudes and in different longitude sectors, an AWFC would be observed at least once every night. The occurrence rates of AWFCs and substorms are similar.

3. Discussion and conclusions

A full-scan plot of the LOS velocity was only shown for the 22 April event for brevity. However, similar to the events reported by Parkinson et al. (2003, in press), our survey of year 2000 results suggests there is a tendency for the strongest AWFC echoes to be observed when looking along the flow direction. This might be caused by the generation of 10-m scale irregularities by the cascade of energy from primary gradient drift waves of much greater scale length. Likewise, the backscatter powers tended to be moderate ($<25\,\text{dB}$), and the Doppler spectral widths either small ($<60\,\text{m/s}$) or moderate (100–250 m/s) during the main flow bursts we call AWFCs, and then the powers tended to be large (20–40 dB) and the spectral widths low ($<60\,\text{m/s}$) during the subsequent SAPS. This was especially so for the “jet-like” events (e.g., Figs. 1 and 2(c)). The considerable variability in backscatter characteristics of different AWFCs needs to be reconciled with various plasma instabilities occurring in proximity to the auroral oval and main trough.

AWFCs exhibit a diverse range of morphology (e.g., Fig. 2). Sometimes the peak velocities are bifurcated, or they are concentrated in very narrow channels ($<45\,\text{km}$) which oscillate in latitude. The complicated electric field structures driving these motions must map to the inner magnetosphere. Or at least this must be true of the longer wavelength structure (Weimer et al., 1985). In turn, there must be implications for the distribution of plasma particle populations in the inner magnetosphere which need modelling. Because these events map to the latitude of the main ionospheric trough, modelling is also required to specify the formation and evolution of the plasma trough. AWFCs may contribute to the formation of the plasmapause (Ober et al., 1997).

When deriving the plasma populations in the inner magnetosphere which result from substorm particle injec-
is common for modelers to use a highly smoothed convection pattern, such as the one given by Volland (1978). Our results show that coincident with many substorm injections, and at critical auroral and sub-auroral latitudes, a highly structured convection field exists. Even a cursory examination of DMSP measurements of transverse ion drift confirms the existence of persistent, large, westward flow structure immediately equatorward of the auroral oval in the dusk to pre-midnight sector (Parkinson et al., in press). This structure needs to be taken into account when modeling inner magnetosphere particle populations.

It is practically impossible to show that an AWFC occurs for every substorm because radar and satellite data rarely provide continuous coverage in space and time. However, we have presented a simple but important statistical argument suggesting the occurrence rate of AWFCs is very similar to the occurrence rate of substorms. Analysis of individual events also implies an intimate link between the onset of AWFCs and substorms. We conclude the earlier case studies combined with the present statistical argument implies that AWFCs are a fundamental aspect of the substorm process.

In terms of electric field enhancements, AWFCs are the dominant ionospheric signature of substorms, and no doubt they account for a large fraction of the electric potential generated during substorms. However, there is a possibility that AWFCs and substorms may be independent phenomena, with their association a coincidence because they both occur at similar MLT's every night. That is, observations may eventually reveal a class of substorm occurring without AWFCs, and vice versa.

For example, Nishitani et al. (2003) reported observation of a persistent westward flow channel during exceptionally quite geomagnetic conditions (“the day the solar wind disappeared”). The characteristics of the convection and field-aligned currents implied by the coincident DMSP particle precipitation measurements were consistent with the electrodynamics of an AWFC.

Proving cause and effect, namely whether substorms cause AWFCs or vice versa, requires further modeling combined with observations more comprehensive and reliable than presented here. The problems with HF backscatter radar measurements, namely the lack of continuity of echoes in space and time, combined with measurement errors, usually make it difficult to pinpoint the timing of events to accuracies better than a few minutes. So far we have not been able to distinguish between whether AWFCs or substorms commence first. Nor have we found unambiguous evidence for the emergence of AWFCs during the substorm growth phase.
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