



# Effects of non-unity refractive index on SuperDARN velocity estimates

#### P. V. Ponomarenko<sup>1</sup>, J.-P. St-Maurice<sup>2</sup> and C. L. Waters<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Space Physics, The University of Newcastle, NSW, Australia <sup>2</sup>Institute of Space and Atmospheric Studies, University of Saskatchewan, Canada

2/06/2008





## Abstract

Recent studies revealed that ionospheric ExB drift velocity estimates derived from SuperDARN Doppler shift data are on average by 25% smaller than those measured simultaneously by DMSP satellites positioned on the same field line. The 500-km altitude shift from the effective scattering volume to the satellite position leads to an 11-% increase in the E/B ratio, but this only accounts for less than a half of the observed effect. While the SuperDARN data processing algorithms assume that HF scatter occurs in a free space, our theoretical calculations and numerical ray tracing show that accounting for the nonunity refractive index in the ionosphere can qualitatively and quantitatively explain the remainder of the discrepancy between two instruments.





# Outline

- Problem formulation
- Theoretical analysis
- Model calculations
- Conclusions
- Future directions





# Problem formulation



#### PHYSICS AND ENGINEERING PHYSICS

# What is there to learn from comparing DMSP with SuperDARN?

J-P St-Maurice, R. Drayton, R. Choudhary, A. Kustov and S. Bansal

Institute of Space and Atmospheric Studies

#### The problem in a nutshell



 SuperDARN is slower by 25 % on average. Why?
 Lots of

scatter. Why?



#### Second task: correct for E/B



Significant, as expected: about a 10% improvement in the slope. However, still bothersome disagreement.

University of Saskatchewan







## SuperDARN velocity







# Theoretical analysis







## Group and phase velocities

$$n = \sqrt{1 - \frac{f_N^2}{f_0^2}} \le 1$$

$$V_g = \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial k} = cn \le c$$
 Group path is overestimated!

$$V_p = \frac{\omega}{k} = \frac{c}{n} \ge c$$
 What does it mean?







2/06/2008





 $F_D = 2V_{app} / \lambda_0 = 2V_D n / \lambda_0$ 

 $V_{app} = V_D(n)$ *n* < 1

Velocity magnitude is <u>underestimated</u>!

By how much?





## Rough estimates







 $V_{\rm app} = 0.75 - 0.90 V_{\rm real}$ 

- This is the <u>maximum possible</u> velocity distortion for the given ratio  $f_N^{\text{max}}/f_0$ .
- However, in reality the scattered signal is formed by the whole <u>scattering volume</u> covering different altitudes with different *n*.
- Also, the scattering process is heavily affected by the **aspect conditions**.





# Model calculations





# Ray-tracing

- Simple numerical model
  - Parabolic layer
  - Flat ionosphere
  - No horizontal gradients
  - Constant magnetic field inclination







# Anisotropic irregularities



2. Обратное рассеяние на анизотропных (анизомерных) флуктуациях с гауссовой корреляционной функцией:

Scattered power diagram:

$$f(\psi) = \exp\{-2k^2(b^2 - a^2)\sin^2\psi\}, a = \lambda/2$$





### Dependence on fluctuation magnitude

In a recent paper, Walker et al. (1987) start with Booker's expression for backscatter cross-section

$$\sigma_{\rm B} = r_{\rm e}^2 \langle \Delta N^2 \rangle P_3(2kl, 2km, 2kn), \qquad (2.70)$$

where:

 $\langle \Delta N^2 \rangle$  = the mean square fluctuation level of the electron density  $r_e$  = the classical electron radius = 2.813 × 10<sup>-15</sup> m  $P_3(k_{\xi}, l_{\eta}, m_{\zeta})$  = the three-dimensional power spectrum of the irregularities  $k = 2\pi/\lambda$  = wave number of the transmitted signal,

and derives a general expression for the backscattered power  $(P_r)$  at the receiver as

$$P_{r} = r_{e}^{2} \langle \Delta N^{2} \rangle f_{2}(\theta_{l}) \frac{(l_{p}A_{r}G_{t}P_{t}g_{t}g_{r}\Delta\Phi_{B})}{4\pi r'} \int_{\Delta\Psi} P_{3}(2k,0,2k\Psi) \, d\Psi, \qquad (2.71)$$

where:

$$f_{2}(\theta_{I}) = \sin \theta / [\mu_{p}^{2}f_{1}(\theta_{I}) \sin \{\theta_{I} + (\alpha - \alpha_{o})\}]$$

$$f_{1}(\theta_{I}) = \frac{PQ}{P'Q'}, \text{ the correction factor for focusing}$$

$$l_{p} = \text{spatial length of radar pulse}$$

$$A_{r} = \text{effective area of receiving antenna}$$

$$G_{t} = \text{gain of transmitting antenna}$$

$$P_{t} = \text{transmitter power output}$$

$$\mu_{p} = \text{refractive index at the reflection level}$$

$$g_{r} = \text{normalized receiving antenna pattern}$$

$$Hunsucker, 1991$$

 $\frac{\left\langle \Delta N^2 \right\rangle}{N^2} = const$  $P_r \propto \left\langle \Delta N^2 \right\rangle \propto N^2$ 

The best guess one can make...





#### Aspect conditions



2/06/2008

SuperDARN 2007 Workshop, Newcastle, June 02-06 2007





## Upper and lower rays



2/06/2008





## Velocity distortion estimates



SuperDARN 2007 Workshop, Newcastle, June 02-06 2007





# Conclusions

- By assuming scattering of HF waves at  $V_p = c$ FITACF underestimates the line-of-sight velocity magnitude by up to 25%, which causes the same distortion in the electric field magnitude.
- Ray-tracing analysis showed that the average velocity distortion over most of the range gates is close to its maximum possible magnitude at the electron density maximum
- As expected, refraction significantly reduces aspect sensitivity, and the velocity distortion is practically independent on the aspect ratio





# Future directions

- Modification of the radar software to correctly account for the non-unity refractive index effect on velocity estimates based on IRI model estimates of  $f_{0F2}$ .
- Development of a more flexible ray-tracing software accounting for non-uniform ionosphere, magnetic field and spherical geometry.







