The non-Gaussian nature of ionospheric vorticity fluctuations

Gareth Chisham, Mervyn Freeman, Gary Abel

British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, UK

SuperDARN Workshop 2008





## Motivation





## Talk Outline

- Outline the vorticity determination method.
- Determine global vorticity distribution.
- Investigate the variation of the vorticity distribution with measurement cell size.
- Illustrate how we can deconvolve the effects of latitudinal and cell size variations in the distributions.



### Vorticity Determination Technique





 We use Stokes theorem to estimate the vorticity (∇xV)

$$\oint_C \mathbf{V}.\mathbf{dl} = \int_S (\nabla \times \mathbf{V}).\mathbf{dS}$$

- The quadrilateral defined by overlapping beams from adjacent radars represents a closed loop *C*, enclosing surface *S*, of area *A*.
- Similar method to *Sofko et al.*, (1995) but the use of line-of-sight velocities rather than MERGE or Map Potential velocity vectors means fewer assumptions and limitations.

# Compilation of Database

- Determined all vorticities for 6 years of common mode data (2000-2005) from the Prince George-Kodiak SuperDARN radar pair.
- Determined distributions of vorticity for all measurement cells.
- Negative (positive) vorticity is equivalent to an upward (downward) field-aligned current.





## **Global Vorticity Distribution**

- Vorticity distribution combining all vorticity measurements from all measurement cells, covering all AACGM latitudes and magnetic local times.
- The distribution of vorticities is distinctly non-Gaussian with very heavy tails.
- Red dashed line shows a Gaussian distribution with the same mean and standard deviation as the observed distribution.





- Make vorticity measurements for a larger range of measurement cell sizes by increasing the beam separation of the edges of the quadrilateral measurement cells.
- Determine vorticity distributions for cell sizes ranging from a 1x1 beam separation to a 5x5 beam separation.





Example cells within the Kodiak – Prince George field of view







Cell size 1x1 191 cells 5.4x10<sup>3</sup> - 4.7x10<sup>4</sup> km<sup>2</sup>

Example cells within the Kodiak – Prince George field of view







Cell size 2x2 162 cells 2.2x10<sup>4</sup> - 1.5x10<sup>5</sup> km<sup>2</sup>

Example cells within the Kodiak – Prince George field of view







Cell size 3x3 135 cells 5.2x10<sup>4</sup> - 3.0x10<sup>5</sup> km<sup>2</sup>

Example cells within the Kodiak – Prince George field of view







Cell size 4x4 110 cells 9.5x10<sup>4</sup> - 4.6x10<sup>5</sup> km<sup>2</sup>

Example cells within the Kodiak – Prince George field of view







Cell size 5x5 87 cells 1.6x10<sup>5</sup> – 6.2x10<sup>5</sup> km<sup>2</sup>

- The vorticity distributions become narrower and more Gaussian with increasing cell size.
- Smaller-scale current structures are averaged out when measuring on larger scale sizes.





- Distributions of vorticity magnitude for the five sets of measurement cell sizes. The vorticity magnitude in each case has been rescaled by dividing by the mean vorticity magnitude.
- The distributions, although similar, do not collapse onto a single functional form.



# Deconvolving Latitude and Cell Size Variations

- Vorticity distributions have been determined for each and every individual measurement cell within the field of view, for the five sets of cell sizes described on previous slides.
- The moments of all these distributions have been measured and plotted against both the cell size and AACGM latitude.
- The five different colours represent the five cell-size groups used on previous slides.



Deconvolving Latitude and Cell Size Variations

- The moments of the distributions are clearly different in the 5 cell size groups.
- The moments in each group also show a clear variation with latitude with reductions at both high and low latitude.





Deconvolving Latitude and Cell Size Variations

• The approximate power-law variation of the moments with cell size is suggestive that the vorticity scales with the measurement cell size.





Deconvolving Latitude and Cell Size Variations

- Results show a roughly similar scaling to that observed for ionospheric velocities by *Abel et al.* (2007).
- On closer inspection it is not clear if the moments scale with cell size at all.





# Summary

- The distributions of ionospheric vorticity are distinctly non-Gaussian this has implications for understanding average patterns of field-aligned current as the 'average' patterns will not represent 'typical' patterns.
- Understanding the nature of the fluctuations is important if the current systems are to be fully understood.
- Future work will involve combining this work with the studies of the turbulent structure of ionospheric convection velocities (e.g., *Abel et al., 2007*), and the scaling of auroral emissions (e.g., *Uritsky et al., 2002; Kozelov et al., 2004*). This will help in achieving the ultimate goal of a unified model of multi-scale auroral dynamics.

